

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/880,139 | Applicant(s) VINATI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Thomas Duong | Art Unit 2145 | |

All Participants:

 (1) Thomas Duong.

 (2) Robert C. Faber, Reg. No. 24,322.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JASON CARDONE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Faber, the attorney of record at Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen, LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Faber, returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.